

[WO 2005/092620]

[PCT/EP2005/051163]

**MARKED-UP COPY OF SPECIFICATION**

**LOCHMÜLLER, W1.2383 PCT-US**

**[Specification]**

**Method for Identifying a Single Panel Comprising a Printed Image of Defective  
Quality on Printed Material Comprising Several Panels**

**CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS**

[001] This patent application is the U.S. national phase, under 35 USC 371, of  
PCT/EP2005/051163, filed March 15, 2005; published as WO 2005/092620 A2 and A3  
on October 6, 2005 and claiming priority to DE 10 2004 014 549.0, filed March 23, 2004,  
and to DE 10 2004 029 140.3, filed June 17, 2004, the disclosures of which are expressly  
incorporated herein by reference.

**FIELD OF THE INVENTION**

[002] The present invention is directed[relates] to methods for identifying a single  
proof copy<sub>1</sub> containing a printed image of defective quality<sub>1</sub> on imprinted material  
comprising several proof copies[ in accordance with the preamble of claims 1 or 11]. An  
image of at least a portion of the imprinted material is taken photographically. Data  
correlated with the photograph are checked to see if a quality reducing error exists on the  
printed material.

**BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION**

[003] A printing press, and in particular a sheet-fed offset printing press, is known from DE 200 10 920 U1 and EP 1 167 036 A1. To determine[, in which, for determining] the quality of a printed image which is arranged on the imprinted material, an image registration device registers the imprinted material. An[, and an] evaluation device, which is connected with the image registration device, compares the image of the imprinted material, which was registered by the image registration device, with a reference image. The[, wherein the] imprinted material is covered with a plurality of identical printed images. The[, and the] evaluation device only evaluates a part of the printed images on the image of the imprinted material. In the[, wherein, in] case of an agreement of the evaluated partial amount with the reference image, the evaluation device issues a signal to a counting device for registering the partial amount.

[004] A method for identifying a single proof copy, which contains[, containing] a printed image of defective quality on an imprinted material containing several proof copies is known from DE 44 32 371 A1. An[, wherein an] inspection system takes a picture of the imprinted material with a camera and processes data from the picture taken

in an image processing system. The[, wherein the] image processing system compares the data from the picture taken with a single proof copy in a[the] data set relating to the imprinted material.

[005]     The[It is known from the] technical article by Dieter Kleeberg "The Linked Printing Plant<sub>1</sub>" in the supplement to the company publication KBA Report No. 14 of Koenig & Bauer AG, Würzburg, 2000, pp. 4, 6, 7, discloses that it is known to combine positional data for further processing, and data for inline quality control into a data set by the use[means] of a uniform data file, such as, for example, [(J)CIP3-PPF-data files[]]. By [means of] proceeding the data in this way<sub>1</sub> it is only necessary to compile a data set once for use in the identification of individual error-containing proof copies and for further processing.

[006]     An image inspection system for a printing press is known from DE 203 03 574 U1. The[, wherein the] imprinted material<sub>1</sub> which was imprinted with proof copies<sub>1</sub> is recorded by an image recording system. The[ and the] image signals so obtained are processed in a downstream-connected image processing arrangement. A[, wherein a] printing device<sub>1</sub> which can be controlled in accordance with the image signals<sub>1</sub> is

arranged downstream of the image recording system. By use of the printing device, [ by means of which] markings for identifying areas determined to be in error can be created at predetermined locations of the imprinted material. As, [ as] a result of those markings, [which] misprinted proof copies can be removed in an appropriately embodied further processing device.

[007] A method for use in determining the position and/or the shape of at least one marker on a web to be imprinted is known from the later published EP 1 403 045 A2. The, [ wherein the] position and/or the shape of that[the] at least one marker in the image to be printed is determined by the use of pre-press data.

### **SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION**

[008] The object of the present invention is directed to providing[based on creating] methods for identifying a single proof copy containing a printed image of defective quality and which single defective quality image is on an imprinted material containing several proof copies. The, [ wherein the] identification of the single proof copy with the image of defective quality takes place without the aid of a printed reference which has previously been imprinted material. An, [ and wherein an] efficient production run results for the

identification of individual such defective proof copies and for the establishment of a data set which can be used for performing this identification.

[009] In accordance with the present invention, this object is attained by taking an image of at least one portion of the imprinted material photographically. Data which is correlated with the photograph so taken is checked to determine if an error, which reduces the quality of the printed image exists on the printed material. Information regarding the location of the proof copy having the printed image quality reducing error is developed. The location of that proof copy, with the error, is determined by a comparison of the data correlated with the photographed image with an electronically generated data set which contains information regarding the arrangement of the proof copies or of their form or size, as they are arranged on the imprinted material[ means of the characteristics of claims 1 or 11].

[010] The advantages to be gained by [means of ]the present invention rest, in particular, in that an imprinted material, and in particular a sheet, which has been printed by a printing press, and containing several proof copies, need not be treated as waste material, if only a single proof copy of the imprinted material has a printed image of

defective quality. The proof copy of the imprinted material, which includes the [with a] printed image of defective quality, can be separated in a process step following the printing process, so that all of the other proof copies, with printed images [a printed image] of good quality, can be used for the intended purpose. A single proof copy of the imprinted material, with the [a] printed image of defective quality can be unequivocally identified. It is quite advantageous that every one of the [proposed] methods in accordance with the present invention is suitable for use to identify individual proof copies of any arbitrary shape, and of any arbitrary orientation, on the imprinted material. A set-up phase of the printing press is [remains] unaffected by the method for the identification of a single proof copy of the imprinted material with a printed image of defective quality in accordance with the present invention. No[, so that no] additional process step is required in the course of the set-up phase of the printing press. Such an additional process step could [which], for example, [could] consist in the evaluation of a set-up sheet which would have to be specially prepared.

### **BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS**

[011] Preferred [Exemplary] embodiments of the present invention are represented in

the drawings and will be described in greater detail in what follows.

[012] Shown are in:

Fig. 1, a schematic representation of an inspection system in accordance with the present invention, and in

Fig. 2, a schematic depiction of a sheet with several proof copies.

### **DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENT**

[013] Referring initially to the[In accordance with its] schematic representation shown in Fig. 1, an inspection system, which is particularly suited for a printed image check, has a camera 01, such as, for example, one or several color line cameras 01, which are connected to each other, or a color area camera 01, which takes a picture of an image, as illuminated by an illumination arrangement 02, of an imprinted material 03. The imprinted material 03 is[[,]] preferably embodied as a sheet 03, and the picture is taken after the imprinted material 03, which may be, for example, made of paper, has been imprinted with at least one printed image in a printing press, such as, for example, a rotary printing press, and in particular a sheet-fed rotary offset printing press. The imprinted material 03 is preferably imprinted in a multi-color print in the course of its

passage through the printing press. Several[, so that several] color separations, each one of which are differing in the respectively used printing ink which varies in color, and which color separations are to be printed on top of each other, are involved in the production of the printed product.

[014] The image taken by the camera 01 reproduces at least a portion of the imprinted material 03. Data determined by the camera 01 from the picture taken, which data may, for example, correlate with amplitude values of individual color channels, are processed in an image processing system 04. The[ output of the] results of the data processing takes place, for example, on a monitor 06, which monitor 06 is connected with the image processing system 04 that[which] processes the data. Inputs, such as, for example parameters which are[,] necessary to be provided to the image processing system 04 for its calculations, are input through a keyboard 07 which is connected to the image processing system 04.

[015] The imprinted material 03, such as in this case, the sheet 03 for example, is imprinted with proof copies on its passage through the printing press and has, as depicted schematically in [accordance with ]Fig. 2, several individual proof copies 09 that



are connected with each other. The individual proof copies 09 can be repeated. They [, but for example] can also be identical print subjects, which are preferably arranged in a structured array, such as, for example, in a grid shape, i.e. in rows and columns, on the sheet 03. In [, wherein in] the preferred embodiment, the proof copies 09, which are arranged on the sheet 03, do not necessarily have to cover the entire area of the sheet 03 which could be imprinted. However, to execute [for executing] the method of the subject invention, [proposed methods] there is no necessity for the proof copies 09, which are arranged on the sheet 03, to be identical in their contours, or for the respective contour of each proof copy 09 to be substantially rectangular, or for the proof copies 09, which are arranged on the sheet 03, to be seamlessly touching. It is also not necessary [, or] for the proof copies 09, which are arranged on the sheet 03, to be arranged on sheet 03 in a regular structure, such as, for example, [i.e.] in a grid with a fixed orientation.

[016] If several proof copies 09 of any arbitrary form or of any [, i.e. any] arbitrary shape, and also with any arbitrary orientation, are arranged on the sheet 03, at least one area 11, such as, for example, an edge 11, results on the sheet 03. In this area 11, [, in

which] an error<sub>1</sub> which reduces the quality of the printed image, and in particular reduces the quality as a result of printing, does not have a disadvantageous effect. This is[,] because this area 11, or this edge 11, is removed in a process step following printing, in which process step the imprinted sheet 03 is further processed. For this reason<sub>1</sub> the image of the sheet 03, which was photographically taken by the color line camera 01 or by the color area camera 01, is preferably only evaluated with respect to[ in view of] the proof copies 09 which are arranged on the sheet 03. The[, so that the] area 11<sub>1</sub> or the edge 11 on the sheet 03<sub>1</sub> which is located outside the proof copies 09, is not evaluated with respect[in regard] to errors which would reduce the quality of the printed image.

[017] The selective evaluation of the sheet 03, with respect[ in regard] to at least one error, which error reduces the quality of the printed image, and which may be, for example<sub>1</sub> an error in color, smudging or any other error, that is inflicted on the sheet 03 prior to, or in particular during the printing process, takes place in such a way that it is determined to which of the proof copies 09, which are arranged on the sheet 03<sub>1</sub> the error detected by the color line camera 01 or by the color area camera 01<sub>1</sub> is to be assigned. For this purpose<sub>1</sub> information regarding the location of the detected error, with[ in] respect

to the area specified on the sheet 03, and in particular with respect to its imprinted area, is preferably initially provided to the image processing system 04 by [means of ]an appropriate evaluation of the data that is correlated with the recorded image. For[, so that for] example, the coordinates of the error, which may reduce[s] the quality of the printed image, are localized. The[, and then that] particular proof copy 09, within whose contours the location of the detected error lies, among the proof copies 09 imprinted on the sheet 03 is identified[, within whose contours the location of the detected error lies].

[018] An individual proof copy 09 is identified wherein[in that] the image processing system 04 places a template, so to speak, over the image taken by the color line camera 01 or by the color area camera 01 of the sheet 03 in the course of its data-processing steps. The [, wherein the] template consists of an electronically generated data set. This[ and wherein this] data set is not taken from a photographically obtained image, and in particular is not obtained within the printing press, but instead is taken from data stored in a pre- print stage. For example, the data set constituting the template is generated by a CAD or [system (]computer-aided design[)] system and is provided to a memory, such as, for example, in the pre-print stage. This[, wherein this] data set contains information

regarding the position, shape, or size, such as, for example, the diameter, of the individual proof copies 09 arranged on the sheet 03, or wherein this information can at least be obtained from the data set. Instead of relating to an absolute position, the information can also relate to the arrangement of the individual proof copies and, in this way, can provide information regarding the position of an individual proof copy 09 in relation to one or to several other proof copies 09. The shape of a proof copy 09 identifies its type or kind, such as, for example a circle, rectangle or another arbitrary geometric shape. In connection with the preferred embodiment of the present invention, the data set is generated in connection with the making of a punch matrix that is intended for use in separating individual proof copies 09 from the sheet 03. This data[, and] is sent to the image processing system 04. This step of the present invention is advantageous because recourse can be[is] had to a data set which is already present. An[ anyway, so that an] additional outlay for generating this data set does not need to occur. If, on the sheet 03, with the totality of all proof copies 09 arranged thereon[there], an individual proof copy 09 of a form or shape is arranged, which individual proof copy 09 preferably significantly differs from the other proof copies 09, it is possible, in the course of detecting

an error which reduces the quality of the printed image, [ it is possible] to draw immediate conclusions regarding the location of the error with[in] respect to this different proof copy 09. This is[,] because this different proof copy 09 has a fixed relationship with the other proof copies 09 which are arranged on the sheet 03.

[019] A specific or definite[firm] relationship between an error detected by the color line camera 01 or the color area camera 01 and a proof copy 09 on the sheet 03 can be established by use[means] of the data set constituting the template. The[ in that the] information regarding the arrangement, form or size of the individual proof copies 03, which are arranged on the sheet 03, is superimposed on the data of the image taken by the color line camera 01 or by the color area camera 01, or on at least the information regarding the location of the detected error, for the purpose of a comparison. By the use[means] of a comparison of the information regarding the [detected ]location of the detected error with the information regarding the arrangement, form or size of the individual proof copies 03 which are arranged on the sheet 03, and with the aid of the data set constituting the template, it is now possible for the image processing system 04 to, for example, [to ]unequivocally determine with which one of the proof copies 09, which

are arranged on the sheet 03, an error, which was detected and which has been localized on the sheet 03, is associated.

[020] A proof copy 09, which has been identified as having an error which was detected by the color line camera 01 or by the color area camera 01 can be marked, such as, for example, by a marking device 08 which is controlled by the image processing system 04. Such a marking can be accomplished[,] by, for example, [ means of] the application of a number or of another[other] marking, for example, or the marking can be displayed on the monitor 06, so that the marking of the respective proof copy 09, containing a printed image of defective quality, can also be performed by the operators of the printing press, for example.

[021] The proof copy 09, which is identified on the sheet 03, and within whose contours the error detected by the color line camera 01 or the color area camera 01 is located, can be separated from the remaining proof copies 09 on the sheet, in the course of a processing step following the actual printing process. The[ in that the] proof copies 09, which are arranged on the sheet 03, are punched out, such as, for example, by the

use[means] of a punching tool. The[, and the] proof copy 09, which has the[he] detected error and which has preferably been marked, is extracted, for example by being shunted off. As a result, all of the proof copies 09 arranged on the sheet 03, with the exception of the marked proof copy 09, can be used for their[the] intended purpose, which is advantageous in particular in connection with high quality printed products.

[022] While a preferred embodiment of a method for identifying a single panel or proof copy, comprising a printed image of defective quality, on printed material comprising several panels or proof copies, in accordance with the present invention, has been set forth fully and completely hereinabove, it will be apparent to one of skill in the art that various changes in, for example, the overall size of the printed material, the type of printing technique used to print the panels or proof copies, and the like could be made without departing from the true spirit and scope of the present invention which is accordingly to be limited only by the appended claims.

WHAT IS CLAIMED IS:

[List of Reference Numerals]

- 01 Camera, color line camera, color area camera
- 02 Illumination arrangement
- 03 Imprinted material, sheet
- 04 Image processing system
- 05 -
- 06 Monitor
- 07 Keyboard
- 08 Marking device
- 09 Proof copy
- 10 -
- 11 Area, edge]